



Alison M [REDACTED]

Following up on our call

Alison Monahan [REDACTED]

Thu, May 7, 2026 at 4:59 PM

To: Dan Stormer [REDACTED]

Cc: Daryl Reese [REDACTED]

Dan -

Thanks for your time today, always nice to reminisce about student days in NYC.

A few items below to confirm where things stand and to frame the open issues. Please confirm receipt, given your email server gremlins.

1. Factual confirmations requested

Please have the corporation confirm in writing:

- The stated basis on which each currently-seated director (per psia-w.org: Subramanian, Powell, Spain, Brown, Bussiere, Ochs, Boblitt) holds their seat – which specific seat each occupies, the date each took their current seat, and the corporate authority for the appointment, election, or other basis for service.
- The date of resignation for each director who has resigned since March 1, 2026, including Lomen, Ryerson, Sheldon, and Lee.
- For each post-April 23 director appointment or reappointment (including Thomas Powell, Sue Spain, Neil Bussiere, and Megan Ochs), the number of directors who voted to approve the appointment, the names of the directors who voted in favor, and the names of any directors who abstained, recused, or were absent for the vote.

These are factual questions that don't require legal characterization.

2. Open questions requiring specific articulation

I'd appreciate clarification on two statements from the May 6 meeting that were attributed to legal counsel:

- **"Outside the authorized governance framework"** (Tom Powell, May 6). The petitions cited Bylaws §§ 7.2 and 7.5 and Cal. Corp. Code §§ 7222 and 7511(c) – the correct statutes for a mutual benefit corporation per PSIA-W's Articles of Incorporation. If your client's position depends on Bylaws § 5.5 or § 9.1 referencing Public Benefit law, those provisions are inconsistent with the Articles and don't override the actual corporate form. If the position is something else, please articulate it specifically.
- **"The votes have not been counted appropriately"** (Tom Powell, May 6). As Secretary of the April 23 meeting, I oversaw the election process, so I take this allegation personally. Please identify (i) the specific counting practice challenged, (ii) the specific ballots or totals contested, and (iii) the basis. If none can be identified, the statement needs to be retracted.

3. Proposed paths forward

Two off-ramps I can accept in principle, plus a separate non-negotiable.

Substantive resolution – two paths:

(A) **Respect both votes.** Honor the 2026 election – Moore, Martel, Boblitt, and Brown seated as the top four, with Achey and Chiu restored – and honor the April 23 § 7222 vote – the five removed directors out, three open seats filled by special election; or

(B) **A clean re-noticed special meeting.** Stipulated valid notice, full member list provided by the corporation, binding outcome both sides honor regardless of result.

I won't accept the 12-director structure that retains the directors the membership voted out.

Public record correction – non-negotiable. The PSIA board has made a number of serious misstatements on the record, and this must be publicly corrected. If, as you asserted, your clients are acting in good faith, I'm sure this will not be a problem.

Specifically, I require a corrective email be sent through the same Mailchimp/member channel and with equivalent prominence to the April 25 BOD email, and posted on psia-w.org, retracting: (a) the April 25 mischaracterizations of the TRO denial; (b) the "no legal power and results are invalid" framing attributed to the court; and (c) any unsupported May 6 statements attributed to legal counsel. Additionally, I need a forward-looking commitment that no further corporate communications will characterize the April 23 meeting as invalid or its votes as miscounted absent a court order. As Secretary of the meeting, this is personally important to me – the "votes have not been counted appropriately" framing is a public attack on my work, and I won't stand for it.

4. Timeline

You noted you'll be out of office next week and asked to delay your substantive response until May 20. I can accommodate that if the corporation agrees in writing by Monday, May 11 to (i) refrain during the interim from any binding non-routine board action – no new appointments, contract decisions, governance changes, communications about the litigation, public characterizations of the special meeting, etc. – and (ii) announce this pause publicly to the membership within 48 hours, through the same channel as the April 25 email.

Members are anxious; a neutral public statement will help calm the situation while substantive issues are worked through.

Please confirm by EOD Monday, May 11 whether your client can meet those conditions. If not, I'll need to proceed on my own schedule.

A few things to flag: I'm not represented by Daryl Reese, not bound by any settlement his clients reach, and not treating today's call or this correspondence as confidential. I'd like to resolve this amicably. If we can't, I'll pursue judicial relief on the timelines above.

Best,

Alison



Alison M [REDACTED]

Following up on our call

Dan Stormer [REDACTED]

Thu, May 7, 2026 at 5:32 PM


To: Alison Monahan [REDACTED]

Cc: Daryl Reese [REDACTED]

I received it

Dan Stormer (he, him, his)

HADSELL STORMER RENICK & DAI LLP



Notice: This email and all attachments are CONFIDENTIAL and intended SOLELY for the recipients as identified in the "To," "Cc" and "Bcc" lines of this email. If you are not an intended recipient, your receipt of this email and its attachments is the result of an inadvertent disclosure or unauthorized transmittal. Sender reserves and asserts all rights to confidentiality, including all privileges that may apply. Pursuant to those rights and privileges, immediately DELETE and DESTROY all copies of the email and its attachments, in whatever form, and immediately NOTIFY the sender of your receipt of this email. DO NOT review, copy, forward, or rely on the email and its attachments in any way. NOTICE: NO DUTIES ARE ASSUMED, INTENDED, OR CREATED BY THIS COMMUNICATION.

From: Alison Monahan [REDACTED]**Sent:** Thursday, May 7, 2026 4:59 PM**To:** Dan Stormer [REDACTED]**Cc:** Daryl Reese [REDACTED]**Subject:** Following up on our call

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dan -



Alison M [REDACTED]

Following up on our call

Dan Stormer [REDACTED]


Tue, May 12, 2026 at 4:55 AM

To: Alison Monahan [REDACTED]

Cc: Daryl Reese [REDACTED]

Alison

We will not be able to accede to your time constraints.

*Dan Stormer (he, him, his)***HADSELL STORMER RENICK & DAI LLP**

Notice: This email and all attachments are CONFIDENTIAL and intended SOLELY for the recipients as identified in the "To," "Cc" and "Bcc" lines of this email. If you are not an intended recipient, your receipt of this email and its attachments is the result of an inadvertent disclosure or unauthorized transmittal. Sender reserves and asserts all rights to confidentiality, including all privileges that may apply. Pursuant to those rights and privileges, immediately DELETE and DESTROY all copies of the email and its attachments, in whatever form, and immediately NOTIFY the sender of your receipt of this email. DO NOT review, copy, forward, or rely on the email and its attachments in any way. NOTICE: NO DUTIES ARE ASSUMED, INTENDED, OR CREATED BY THIS COMMUNICATION.

From: Alison Monahan [REDACTED]**Sent:** Thursday, May 7, 2026 4:59 PM**To:** Dan Stormer [REDACTED]**Cc:** Daryl Reese [REDACTED]**Subject:** Following up on our ca

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the